

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

DEAFCE-1994			
08/758.033 11/27/96	CLAYMAN FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	lä	INGN: 022
SERIAL NUMBER   FILING DATE			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	· HM32/0217		
ARNOLD WHITE & DURKEEHAUDA_		)A_K	
PO BOX 4433		EXAMINER	
HOUSTON TX 77210-4433		1435	2
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			02/17/00
L	J	DATE MAILED:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

## Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

Attached please find:

- 1) PTO-892
- 2) References cited on the PTO-892
- 3) Advisory Action PTO-303
- 4) Examiner's Answer to the Brief filed November 16, 1999.



## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

Applicant(s)

\_\_\_\_

Group Art Unit

Clayman, G.

Examiner

08/758,033

Karen M. Hauda

1632

TH	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]		
	a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.		
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.		
X	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>Sep 13, 1999</u> (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).		
Ap bu	pplicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 16, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, t is <b>NOT</b> deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:		
X	The proposed amendment(s):		
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.		
	will not be entered because:		
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).		
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).		
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.		
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
	NOTE:		
	<u> </u>		
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):		
	Rejections under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph.		
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.		
571			
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:		
	The Rejections under 35 USC 103 are maintained for the reasons of record.		
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):		
	Claims allowed:		
	Claims objected to:		
	Claims rejected: 1-14, 16-20, 26-32, 36-68, 73-77, 80-132, and 137-145		
	The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.		
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).		
	Other		
	KAREN M. HAUDA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1632		